UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE AVERN COHN

V.

No. 17-20467

ZAHIR SHAH,

Defendant.

PLEA HEARING

Thursday, May 17, 2018

Appearances:

Rebecca Szucs Howard Locker Douglas R. Mullkoff
U.S. Attorney's Office Kessler & Mullkoff
211 W. Fort Street, #2300 402 West Liberty
Detroit, Michigan 48226 Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4343 On behalf of Plaintiff

(734) 761-8585

On behalf of Plaintiff (313) 226-9100

On behalf of Defendant

To obtain a certified transcript, contact: Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 219 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313)234-2604 · ward@transcriptorders.com

Transcript produced using machine shorthand and CAT software.

INDEX

EXHIBITS

<u>Number</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Id'd Rcvd Vol.</u>

None Marked, Offered or Received

_ _ _

	Plea Hearing
	Thursday, May 17, 2018
	Page 3
1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Thursday, May 17, 2018
3	11:02 a.m.
4	
5	THE CLERK: Calling Case Number 17-20467,
6	United States v. Shah.
7	You may be seated.
8	Please come up to the podium and state your name for the
9	record.
10	THE COURT: Push that forward. Get it right up.
11	MS. SZUCS: Good morning, Your Honor.
12	THE CLERK: State your name for the record.
13	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty or is he?
14	MR. MULLKOFF: He will be.
15	THE COURT: All right. Then he should be center.
16	MR. MULLKOFF: Very good.
17	THE CLERK: Now please state your name for the
18	record.
19	MS. SZUCS: Good morning, Your Honor. Rebecca Szucs
20	and Howard Locker on behalf of the United States.
21	MR. MULLKOFF: Doug Mullkoff. I represent
22	Zahir Shah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. You are Zahir Shah?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And you are here to plead guilty to the
	17-20467; United States v. Zahir Shah

Page 4

1 First Superseding Indictment? 2 MR. MULLKOFF: We are here to plead guilty to 3 Counts 1 and 2 of the indictment. 4 THE COURT: 1 and 2? 5 MR. MULLKOFF: 1 and 2, and the government has agreed 6 to dismiss the remaining counts. 7 Okay. THE COURT: 8 MR. MULLKOFF: If I may address you, Judge? 9 THE COURT: Yes. Use the microphone.

MR. MULLKOFF: Yes. I have taken the -- we are pleading guilty without a Rule 11 Agreement. We have just stated the entire agreement. Counts 1 and 2 guilty plea. Counts 3, 4, 5 and 6 dismissed. That's the entirety of the agreement, and for ease of this morning, I have used a highlighter with the superseding indictment and marked the pages that we're going to track to put on this factual basis. I have a copy for you.

THE COURT: Hand it up.

Is the forfeiture substantive?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SZUCS: There are forfeiture allegations in the indictment, and forfeiture will be a part of the sentence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's being forfeited?

MS. SZUCS: The government will move for a judgment of forfeiture as part of the sentence.

Page 5 1 THE COURT: You dropped your voice. 2 THE CLERK: You've got to talk into the microphone. 3 MS. SZUCS: The government will be seeking a 4 forfeiture judgment at the time of sentencing, Your Honor. 5 this point no assets have been seized. 6 THE COURT: That's what I say, it's not substantive? 7 MS. SZUCS: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 9 MR. MULLKOFF: Judge, I would like to further state 10 that the green tabs are referencing Count 1. The blue tabs are 11 referencing Count 2. 12 THE COURT: All right. What's the difference between Count 1 and Count 2? 13 14 MR. MULLKOFF: Count 1 -- they are almost identical. 15 However, Count 1 is Wire Fraud. Count 2 is a separate statute, 16 the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, so the conduct can violate 17 both because the added element of using Wire Fraud doesn't 18 exist in the other charge. 19 THE COURT: How does that affect the quidelines? 20 MR. MULLKOFF: It affects the guidelines in a big 21 way. The Anti-Kickback Statute carries a five-year maximum 22 sentence, but by charging Wire Fraud, it brings it up to a 23 20-year offense. 24 THE COURT: 20-year minimum? 25 MR. MULLKOFF: No, 20-year maximum.

Plea Hearing Thursday, May 17, 2018 Page 6 1 THE COURT: Maximum. But how does that affect the 2 quidelines? 3 MR. MULLKOFF: The guidelines are exponentially 4 greater. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll see what happens. 6 Okay. 7 You are Zahir Shah? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Before accepting your guilty plea I have 10 some questions to ask to be sure it's a good plea and you know 11 what you're doing. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: If you don't understand a question, say 14 If you want to talk to your lawyer, say so. Do you 15 understand that? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Swear the defendant. 18 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 19 20 ZAHIR SHAH, 21 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was 22 examined and testified upon his oath 23 as follows:

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand if you don't answer a question truthfully you can be charged with perjury?

24

25

	Thursday, May 17, 2018
	Page 7
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: You have to speak up.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: How old are you?
5	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 47.
6	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
7	THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry? Can you repeat?
8	MR. MULLKOFF: How far did you go in school?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Bachelor degree, a Bachelor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been under a
11	doctor's care or in a hospital for drug addiction?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No.
13	THE COURT: Citizen of the United States?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Have you had any drugs or medicine or
16	anything to drink in the last 24 hours?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No.
18	THE COURT: Do you have a lawyer?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Had a chance to talk to your lawyer?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your lawyer?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Do you understand under our Constitution
25	and laws you are entitled to a jury trial on the charges
	17-20467; United States v. Zahir Shah

Page 8

1 | against you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand at a trial you would be presumed innocent and the government would have to overcome the presumption, prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt, and you would not have to prove you were innocent?

THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat the question, I'm sorry, please?

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there was a trial witnesses for the government would have to come into the courtroom and testify in front of you, and your lawyer could cross-examine the witnesses, object to the evidence offered by the government and offer evidence on your behalf?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand if there was a trial you would have the right to use subpoenas to force the attendance of witnesses whether they wanted to come into the courtroom or not?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You've got to say yes or no.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand if there was a trial

Page 9 1 you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, you would 2 have the right not to testify, and no inference or suggestion 3 of guilt could be drawn from the fact you did not testify? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty and 6 I accept your plea you waive your right to a trial and all of 7 the other rights I have described and there will not be a 8 trial, I will simply enter a judgment of guilty and sentence 9 you on that basis? 10 THE DEFENDANT: 11 THE COURT: If you plead quilty, you also understand 12 you waive your right not to incriminate yourself since I may 13 ask you questions about what you did to satisfy myself that you 14 are guilty and you will have to acknowledge the fact that you 15 are quilty? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: In considering all of that, are you 18 willing to give up all of these rights and plead guilty? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Have you read the indictment? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Have you discussed with your lawyer the 23 government's charges, particularly the charges to which you 24 intend to plead quilty to? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

	Inarbady, nay 17, 2010
	Page 10
1	THE COURT: Do you understand in Count 1 you are
2	charged with Wire Fraud and in Count 2 you are charged with
3	violating the Anti-Kickback Statute?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you know the maximum sentence on the
6	Wire Fraud under the law?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: What?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Maximum 20 years.
10	MR. MULLKOFF: Maximum 20 years, he said.
11	THE COURT: And do you know the maximum under the
12	Anti-Kickback Statute?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Five years.
14	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you
15	to plead guilty or told you if you don't plead guilty other
16	charges will be brought against you?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No.
18	THE COURT: Has anyone made any prediction or
19	prophesy to you
20	THE DEFENDANT: No.
21	THE COURT: or promise as to what your sentence
22	will be?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat the question? I'm
24	sorry.
25	MR. MULLKOFF: Did anyone promise you what your
	17-20467; United States v. Zahir Shah

Plea Hearing Thursday, May 17, 2018 Page 11 1 sentence would be? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Promise? 3 THE COURT: No, has anyone told you, look, Judge Cohn 4 is going to do this or that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, no. 6 THE COURT: Okay. By the way, what is the guideline 7 score for Count 1? 8 It's in dispute, Judge, and we're --MR. MULLKOFF: 9 It may be in dispute, but --10 MR. MULLKOFF: I'll leave it to the government to say 11 what they think. 12 THE COURT: I'll ask that of the government. 13 Yes, Your Honor. The government's MS. SZUCS: 14 position, the government's position at the time of sentencing 15 is that the guidelines for the defendant will be an anticipated 16 offense level of 34. 17 THE COURT: 34? 18 MS. SZUCS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MS. SZUCS: And that equates to 151 to 188 months. 21 THE COURT: Okay. What's the amount that the 22 government claims was involved? 23 MS. SZUCS: Approximately \$8 million, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And how about Count 2, what's the 25 quideline score?

Page 12 1 MS. SZUCS: For purposes of scoring the guidelines, 2 Count 1 and Count 2 will be combined. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 Did you, as charged in Count 1, engage in Wire Fraud as 5 alleged in the indictment or in those portions of the 6 indictment which your lawyer has highlighted? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: And did you engage in violating the 9 Anti-Kickback Statute, as charged in Count 2, in the fashion 10 that your lawyer highlighted in the indictment? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Tell me what you did. 13 First of all, you are a doctor, right? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Physical therapist. 15 THE COURT: Physical therapist, okay. 16 MR. MULLKOFF: Could I proceed with question and 17 answer, Judge? 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. 19 MR. MULLKOFF: All right. Were you a person who was 20 registered to be a health care provider with Medicare so that you could bill Medicare for physical therapy services? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 MR. MULLKOFF: And with respect to Count 1, the Wire 24 Fraud count, did you conspire with others, including 25 Sana Kassab and Johnny Younan, to carry out a scheme to defraud

Page 13 1 the health care benefit program, Medicare Fraud, and do you 2 agree that that affected commerce? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 MR. MULLKOFF: Did you develop a scheme with them to, 5 by means of false statements, cause to be transmitted in 6 interstate commerce communications, writings for the purpose of 7 billing and carrying out this conspiracy? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 MR. MULLKOFF: Was one of the purposes of the 10 conspiracy for you to pay kickbacks for the purpose of having 11 Medicare patients referred to you? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 MR. MULLKOFF: And you know from a document you 14 signed that you were ineligible to bill for Medicare if you 15 received referral fees or kickbacks from a third party, right? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 MR. MULLKOFF: And you diverted the money that you 18 received from Medicare for your own personal use and the 19 benefit of your coconspirators, correct? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 MR. MULLKOFF: You signed a certification that you 22

would refrain from violating the Antikickback law?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23

24

25

MR. MULLKOFF: On June 22 of 2011 you had that certificate transmitted by means of interstate wire?

Page 14 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 MR. MULLKOFF: And, notwithstanding the 3 certification, you did pay money to Sana Kassab and 4 Johnny Younan and others in exchange for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries for home health care services billed to 5 6 Medicare? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 MR. MULLKOFF: Judge, I believe that's an adequate 9 factual basis for Count 1. Can I go on to Count 2? 10 THE COURT: Go ahead. 11 MR. MULLKOFF: With respect to Count 2, you are 12 pleading guilty to conspiring to pay health care kickbacks, 13 correct? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 MR. MULLKOFF: And with these same individuals you 16 just named did you knowingly pay money to them for referring an 17 individual or individuals to arrange for physical therapy 18 services that would be billed in whole or part by Medicare? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 MR. MULLKOFF: And you caused payments to be made by 21 them on some specific dates? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 MR. MULLKOFF: I'll ask you to confirm these 24 three transactions. Is it true that on April 26, 2013 you 25 transmitted \$2,200 to Johnny Younan in exchange for his

Page 15 1 referring Medicare beneficiaries to you for home health 2 services? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 MR. MULLKOFF: On November 7, 2013 is it true that 5 you transferred \$5,500 to him, the same individual, for 6 referring Medicare beneficiaries for home health care physical 7 therapy? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 MR. MULLKOFF: And, finally, January 3, 2014, is it 10 true that you caused to be paid \$700 to Sana Kassab for 11 referring Medicare beneficiaries for physical therapy? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 MR. MULLKOFF: Judge, I think that's a factual basis 14 to both counts. 15 THE COURT: Is the government satisfied or do they 16 have any questions? 17 Your Honor, the government is satisfied, MS. SZUCS: 18 and I would just like to clarify that Count 1 is Conspiracy to 19 Commit Health Care Fraud and Wire Fraud. 20 MR. MULLKOFF: That's correct. 21 **THE COURT:** A combination? 22 MR. MULLKOFF: Yeah. 23 MS. SZUCS: And one more question, Your Honor. 24 you do all of this in the Eastern District of Michigan? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Plea Hearing Thursday, May 17, 2018

Page 16

MS. SZUCS: The government is satisfied, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that you have acknowledged that you are in fact guilty as charged in Count One and in Count Two; I find you know you have a right to trial and what the maximum punishment is; I find you are voluntarily pleading guilty. I take your plea of guilty under advisement. I do find though that it is free and voluntary, you understand the charge and penalty, and there is a factual basis for the plea.

I take it that since I have not accepted the plea you waive your right that you have to withhold the presentence investigation report?

MR. MULLKOFF: We're going to cooperate and be interviewed by the presentence officer.

THE COURT: Okay. I will get a presentence investigation report. Your lawyer will explain to you what that is. You will be expected to cooperate and be back here at the time and date for your sentencing.

I will expect a sentencing memorandum from the government as well as from your lawyer. I expect that the sentencing memorandum from the government will justify whatever sentence they ask the Court to impose and will also include a study of comparative offenses in the sentences imposed, particularly in this district.

Do you understand what I'm saying?

MS. SZUCS: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 17

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you have anything further?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SZUCS: Yes, Your Honor. For purposes of the record in accordance with Rule 11, if we could please just cover that the defendant understands that, in addition to the imprisonment, the maximum possible penalty includes a fine as to each count, \$250,000 or twice the peculiar loss or gain; that the maximum possible penalty also includes three years of supervised release; that the Court has the authority to order restitution; that the Court must impose a special assessment of \$200; and that in determining the sentence the Court must consider the guidelines and the Rule 3553 factors; and finally, pursuant to the Sixth Circuit's recent decision in Ataya and Rule 11 that the defendant understands that consequences of his conviction may include adverse effects on his immigration status, his naturalized citizenship, his right to vote, right to carry a firearm, right to serve on a jury, and the ability to hold certain licenses or be employed in certain fields. The defendant understands that no one can predict to a certainty the effect that his conviction will have on his immigration status, but he nonetheless affirms that he chooses to plead quilty regardless of any immigration consequence or other collateral consequence of his conviction.

THE COURT: You heard what she said?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Plea Hearing Thursday, May 17, 2018 Page 18 1 THE COURT: Do you understand what she has said? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Mullkoff? 4 MR. MULLKOFF: No, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 MR. MULLKOFF: Thank you. 7 MS. SZUCS: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 (Proceedings concluded at 11:22 a.m.) 9 10 CERTIFICATION 11 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of 12 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 13 14 s/ Sheri K. Ward 6/18/2018 Sheri K. Ward Date 15 Official Court Reporter 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 17-20467; United States v. Zahir Shah